

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/829,760	04/10/2001	David O. Chenault	RUN600.00/00043A	9599
7	590 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
Gable & Gotwals			PASCHALL, MARK H	
Attn: Paul H. Johnson 100 West Fifth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10th Floor			3742	
Tulsa, OK 74103			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4-				
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/829,760	CHENAULT, DAVID O.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	ebruary 2004.					
,	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-39 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicat inity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schow et al. Note that teaching m, evaluatijng and testing are set froth in Schow et al and it is obvious that these controls ensure the quality of a workman performing the task. Note that the industry rules would have to be followed since Schow mentions working on US Naval vessels. Welding is taught and it would be obvious to include thermoplastic pipe in ;the types of welding. tNote that during the testing, parameters are measured. As per claim 16 note the use of barcodes on conventional welding fittings. Recording the location of the training as set forth in the claims is obvious.

Claims 1-5 and 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings atHobart Welding School. Applicant should note that Hobart Welding School is very well known in the industry and the student there would be tested

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schow et al. Note that teaching m, evaluating and testing are set froth in Schow et al and it is obvious that these controls ensure the quality of a workman performing the task. Note that the industry rules would have to be followed since Schow mentions working on US Naval vessels. Welding is taught and it would be obvious to include thermoplastic pipe in ;the types of welding. Note that during the testing, parameters are measured. As per claim 16 notes the use of barcodes on conventional welding fittings. Recording the location of the training as set forth in the claims is obvious.

Claims 1-5 and 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings at Hobart Welding School. Applicant should note that Hobart Welding School is very well known in the industry and the student there would be tested

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

, trained and evaluated., with hands on testing. Industry standards for these covered tasks are taught rigorously there. It is obvious that the qualifications of a workman(student) would be ensured by such teachings at Hobart.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 3